

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.nspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/879,613	06/12/2001	John Skoufis	33121.2130	5295	
31013	7590 08/07/2003				
KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 919 THIRD AVENUE			EXAMINER		
			MOHANDESI, JILA M		
NEW YORK,	NY 10022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3728		
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/879,613	SKOUFIS, JOHN					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jila M Mohandesi	3728					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	ı				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communicat ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	Nav 2003 .						
2a)⊠	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.						
3)	, <u> </u>							
Dispositi	on of Claims	•						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,11,12 and 14</u> is/are pending in	the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7,9,12 and 14</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accep	•						
44)□ 7	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11)[1	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
121 7	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	•						
		anniner.						
	Advantagement is made of a plain for foreign	maioniku umdon 25 H C O C 440/	-) (4) (6)					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 0.5.C. § 119(3	a)-(a) or (t).					
a)L		have been received						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
		· ·						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).				
	The translation of the foreign language procedures the constitution of the foreign language procedures the constitution of the translation of the constitution of the							
Attachment								
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	··				

Application/Control Number: 09/879,613 Page 2

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims 8, 10 and 13 which were withdrawn by applicant in paper No. 7. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of withdrawn claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 9 and 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Onodera (6,012,576). Onodera `576 discloses a package cleaning article for use in clean rooms, said cleaning article (brush 15 with fibrous or sponge-like member 17) having particulate, metal ion and ionic counts at or below the values specified for clean room, said package comprising a sealed container (shell 2 and top 3), said cleaning article being positioned in said container, and containing a quantity of de-ionized water, said de-ionized water containing hydrogen peroxide in concentration effective to kill and retard the growth of bacteria in said cleaning article, said amount being low enough to substantially ensure decomposition of said hydrogen peroxide in a relatively short period of time after the container is sealed. See column 3, lines 1-11%.

Inasmuch as the 1% concentration of the hydrogen peroxide specified by applicant will have metal ion and ionic counts at or below the values specified for clean

Application/Control Number: 09/879,613

Art Unit: 3728

room and said amount will be low enough to substantially ensure decomposition of said hydrogen peroxide in a relatively short period of time after the container is sealed, the (1%) concentration hydrogen peroxide of Onodera `576 will also function in the same manner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onodera (6,012,576). Onodera `576 as described above discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the type of sponge and the concentration of the hydrogen peroxide.

With respect to the material of the cleaning article, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

With respect to the concentration of the hydrogen peroxide, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the amount of hydrogen peroxide about 0.05 to 0.1%, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Application/Control Number: 09/879,613

Art Unit: 3728

Claims 1, and 3-7 are directed to an obvious method of packaging the brush/sponge of Onodera `576.

6. Claims 2 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onodera `576 in view of Paley et al. (5,988,371). Onodera `576 as described above discloses all the limitations of the claims except for the container to be a flexible plastic bag. Paley `371 discloses storing a cleaning article in a flexible bag which can be opened and the bag can resealed for future use and can easily be shipped and handle. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the container of Onodera `576 as a flexible plastic bag as taught by Paley `371 for easier shipping and handling.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-12 and 14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/879,613

Art Unit: 3728

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jila M Mohandesi whose telephone number is (703)305-7015. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:00 (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (703) 308-2672. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

J. MOHANDESI PATENT EXAMINER

Jila M Mohandesi Examiner

Art Unit 3728

JMM August 6, 2003